	In the Matter of	,
	_	RDNER RIDGE
		(02-029)
	Gardnertown Secti	Road Near Gidney Avenue on 75; Block 1; Lot 4.12
		R-3 Zone
		X
		ARB
		Thursday, October 17, 2024
		7:00 p.m. Town of Newburgh Town Hall
		Newburgh, New York
	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman
		KENNETH MENNERICH, Vice Chairman CLIFFORD C. BROWNE
		STEPHANIE DELUCA JOHN WARD
		DAVID DOMINICK LISA CARVER
	ALSO PRESENT:	PATRICK J. HINES
	ALSO TRESERT	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. JIM CAMPBELL, Code Enforcement
		JIM CAMPBELL, Code Enforcement
APPLICANT'S REPRESENT BERG, RA	SENTATIVE: DARREN DOCE/CHRISTOPHER	
	Reported by: Kar	i L. Reed
	!	MICHELLE L. CONERO
	mi ch	Court Reporter elleconero@hotmail com

1	Gardner Ridge
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Could I have a roll
3	call vote, I'm sorry.
4	MR. DOMINICK: Present.
5	MS. DeLUCA: Present.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Present.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present.
8	MR. BROWNE: Present.
9	MS. CARVER: Present.
10	MR. WARD: Present.
11	MR. CORDISCO: Dominic Cordisco, Planning
12	Board attorney.
13	MR. HINES: Pat Hines, with MHE
L 4	Engineering.
L 5	MR. CAMPBELL: Jim Campbell, Town of
16	Newburgh Code Compliance.
L7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. At this point
18	we'll turn the meeting over to Stephanie
19	DeLuca.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Stand for the Pledge.
21	(Pledge of Allegiance said.)
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Our first item this
23	evening is Gardner Ridge, Project number 02-022
24	is here for ARB. It's an R-3 zone. And it's
25	being represented by Darren Doce.

1 Gardner Ridge

MR. DOCE: Hi, good evening. Yes, we're here for ARB review. The architect here is Chris Berg from Berg & Moss. And I'll just turn it over to him to make the presentation.

MR. BERG: Good evening, everyone. I realize Darren was here last month and made a presentation. Unfortunately I was not able to make it. So I will try to keep it brief since everyone knows the project. But please, if I — if things are miscommunicated or misinterpreted by me, please feel free to ask questions if I miss anything.

There was a, from what I understand there was a, there are a few technical questions, but it was also a question of materials. What we're trying to do at Gardner Ridge, as you know, it's five residential buildings plus a one senior housing, our over 55 building. We're trying to keep the language fresh but familiar. Materials that are very common and used frequently in the area, but with a mix of, just try to soften it up with some wood grained features and, and familiar trims and a vernacular language that is common throughout

1	Gardner Ridge
2	the area. I don't know if you want to pass
3	these around. The ones that we've selected are
4	highlighted with a tab, but if there's anything
5	else that jumps out at you, please feel free to
6	pose your opinion. Really it's just trying to
7	keep the scale of the units down to something
8	that's very that's fits into the suburban
9	nature of the neighborhood.
10	MR. WARD: This shows you're using the
11	CertainTeed product?
12	MR. BERG: Yeah. Well, the CertainTeed
13	has that there's a number of manufacturers
14	that have similar, but that's the one that
15	we're going with, with the wood grain.
16	MR. BROWNE: You're going with that brand?
17	MR. BERG: Yeah.
18	MR. BROWNE: Did you fill out the sheet?
19	MR. BERG: It was filled out, yeah.
20	MR. BROWNE: Okay, good. Thank you.
21	MR. BERG: There are another, other
22	manufacturers, Bigen also makes it too, very
23	similar.
24	MR. BROWNE: I'm just familiar with
25	CertainTeed T actually went out to their

1	Gardner Ridge
2	location.
3	MR. BERG: Yeah, they I mean, it's top
4	notch materials. That and a white or
5	off-white, but yup.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Anybody have any
7	questions?
8	MS. DeLUCA: The senior building is a
9	different color than the rest of the other
10	buildings?
11	MR. BERG: In general, yeah. The senior
12	building you can see in the back, this is a
13	blow up of it, it has more of a wood grain
L 4	features.
15	MS. DeLUCA: More wood grain.
16	MR. BERG: More of a lodge like feel to
17	it.
18	MS. DeLUCA: Okay.
19	MR. BERG: And the individual residential
20	units would be more the off-white, white
21	vernacular.
22	MS. DeLUCA: So the other buildings are,
23	I'm sorry?
24	MR. BERG: I'm sorry.
25	MS. DeLUCA: Off-white?

1	Gardner Ridge
2	MR. BERG: Yeah. These are more the
3	residential units.
4	MS. DeLUCA: Right.
5	MR. BERG: This smaller building here is
6	the amenities building.
7	MS. DeLUCA: Right.
8	MR. DOMINICK: Can you show us on your
9	color pallet?
10	MS. DeLUCA: Yeah, on the color pallet,
11	thank you.
12	MR. BERG: Sure. The is this
13	MS. DeLUCA: That's what you're using
14	MR. BERG: That's the wood.
15	MS. DeLUCA: for the senior?
16	MR. BERG: Yes.
17	MS. DeLUCA: And it's complementary, the
18	color is
19	MR. BERG: I believe it's the, well, it's
20	more of the white. There is a page with the
21	actual colors. Page three.
22	MR. DOMINICK: Yup.
23	MR. BERG: Keeping it really, really
24	simple. Does that answer your question?
25	MS. DeLUCA: Yes. Yes.

Т	Garuner Kruge
2	MR. DOMINICK: Chris, I think you're right
3	it is very simple. And that's one comment that
4	I had here on my notes here. Compared to the
5	other buildings, as you say, it fits in the
6	suburban, suburban area, the nature of the
7	neighborhood. That senior building in my
8	opinion, and it could be subjective, I don't
9	think that hits the mark.
10	MR. BERG: Okay.
11	MS. DeLUCA: No.
12	MR. DOMINICK: It's very plain, very
13	simple.
L 4	MR. BERG: It's too simple?
L 5	MR. DOMINICK: Yes.
16	MS. DeLUCA: Yes.
L7	MR. BERG: Okay. Do you think baseboards
18	of stone or something would be bring in the
19	rest, is that
20	MR. WARD: It looks like a square box.
21	MR. BERG: It looks like a square box?
22	MR. DOMINICK: Yeah. If you want to add
23	stone here at the bottom to dress it up going
24	that direction.
25	MS DeLUCA: Yeah

1	Gardner Ridge
2	MR. DOMINICK: You're heading more in that
3	direction, yes, I believe so.
4	MR. BERG: Okay.
5	MR. DOMINICK: But, like I said, I think
6	it's too simple, too plain compared to the rest
7	of your neighborhood.
8	MR. BERG: Okay.
9	MR. BROWNE: Not to jump in, but my first
10	impression is it looks like a warehouse. But
11	you're the architect, I would like you to come
12	up with a design so it is more appropriate.
13	MR. BERG: Mm-hmm.
14	MR. BROWNE: Another comment, I'm looking
15	at sheets A 300 through 301. The roof design
16	from my perspective is problematic in that
17	I, I was up at Meadow Winds, that was from the
18	first project up there, and the first winter I
19	had to go around knocking icicles, big icicles,
20	three, four, five foot from the roofs that were
21	coming down over top of the entrances. And on
22	this design I'm seeing a roof design that would
23	lend itself to that over the garages, the
24	second, second and third garages and at the
25	other end the third and fourth and so on. So

Τ	Gardner Ridge
2	what I'd like to see if you could find a way to
3	mitigate that, what I would refer to a safety
4	issue with ice and stuff forming in those
5	alleys and stuff that can potentially fall in
6	those areas over the garage on people, et
7	cetera, look at that to mitigate that. I have
8	no idea how you would do it. But the other
9	units, the way you have the roof design it
10	would minimize that tremendously. But from an
11	architectural design I don't know. That's,
12	that's your game.
13	MR. BERG: Okay. Yeah, I would anticipate
L 4	some sort of ice melt
15	MR. BROWNE: Something.
16	MR. BERG: along the perimeter there,
17	or a gutter system.
18	MR. BROWNE: Yeah. Is the builder going
19	to maintain ownership of the, and I understand
20	that's a long term maintenance issue, so you
21	want to think about that too.
22	MR. BERG: Okay.
23	MR. BROWNE: So whatever.
24	MR. BERG: Yeah. I would anticipate some
25	sort of ice melt gutter.

1	Gardner Ridge
2	MR. WARD: Like you say, the senior bit
3	is, it isn't straight, the roof has like no
4	arch to it. Where you take your regular
5	buildings and you have little alcoves coming
6	out breaking it up with the design. If you did
7	something like that over the garages like you
8	did here, especially for the seniors, as
9	preventive, if ice build up won't go, they'll
10	flow off, you don't have much of a rooftop
11	there with a peak.
12	MR. BERG: That's true, because we are
13	trying to keep it under the height.
14	MR. WARD: Yeah, but you still have room.
15	It's 30 feet, you still have room. So to
16	design it that you can have it to flow off is
17	one thing. Like people said, it looks like a
18	warehouse because the roof is low. And, like
19	Cliff said, it's a safety issue.
20	MR. BROWNE: Well, not so much with that
21	building, with the other one, because the only
22	entrance is in the middle, and that's got the
23	arch over the doorway, which lends itself to a
24	safer situation.
25	MR. BERG: Right, right, right.

1	Gardner Ridge
2	MR. BROWNE: But the other buildings are
3	partly a problem.
4	MR. BERG: Right. Okay, I understand.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?
6	MR. MENNERICH: No comments.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Lisa Carver.
8	MS. CARVER: Nothing further, no.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So are we
10	approving the plans subject to certain
11	modifications or are we putting this off to a
12	later date, I have to know that because we had
13	a lot of conversation but can we summarize that
14	in a what we would like to see in order to
15	complete the approval, or how do you want to
16	move on this? Starting with Dominick.
17	MR. DOMINICK: It's hard to say without
18	seeing what the revision is visually, so I
19	would like to wait and see based upon tonight's
20	feedback what the architect comes back with.
21	MS. DeLUCA: Agreed.
22	MR. MENNERICH: Agreed.
23	MR. BROWNE: I would prefer it, yeah.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So you'll have
25	to make another resubmission with revised

Τ	Gardner Ridge
2	drawings as it relates to the comments from the
3	planning board members.
4	MR. BERG: Okay. I'm sorry, I'm not
5	familiar with the process, but as far as the
6	site plan goes and what was discussed
7	previously, has that been approved?
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic, this is one
9	of the outstanding conditions of approval to
10	have that complete, that phase of it.
11	MR. CORDISCO: That's absolutely correct.
12	The project received at the September 24th
13	meeting a conditional final approval. This is
14	one of the conditions is that the architectural
15	review board approval would be outstanding.
16	MR. BERG: Okay. So I'm a slow poke here.
17	I'll carry on. Thank you for your time
18	tonight.
19	(Time noted: 7:10 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Gardner Ridge
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4	ý ss:
5	COUNTY OF ORANGE)
6	
7	I, KARI L. REED, a Shorthand Reporter
8	(Stenotype) and Notary Public within and for
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
10	I reported the proceedings in the
11	within-entitled matter and that the within
12	transcript is a true record of such
13	proceedings.
14	I further certify that I am not related,
15	by blood or marriage, to any of the parties in
16	this matter and that I am in no way interested
17	in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand this 17th day of November 2024.
20	
21	
22	Kari L Reed
23	KARI L. REED
24	
25	

	ORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE GH PLANNING BOARD
In the Matter (X of
JJSK INC.	, Retail Cannabis Dispensary (24-23)
	165 South Plank Road on 60; Block 3; Lot 18 IB Zone
	X
	TE PLAN/SPECIAL USE PERMIT
	Thursday, October 17, 2024
	7:10 p.m. Town of Newburgh Town Hall
	Newburgh, New York
BOARD MEMBERS:	
	KENNETH MENNERICH, Vice Chairman CLIFFORD C. BROWNE STEPHANIE DELUCA
	JOHN WARD DAVID DOMINICK
	LISA CARVER
ALSO PRESENT:	PATRICK J. HINES DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
	JIM CAMPBELL, Code Enforcement
APPLICANT'S REI	PRESENTATIVE: JOSEPH M. SAFFIOTI
Reported by: I	Kari L. Reed
.	MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter
m·	ichelleconero@hotmail com

1 JJSK Inc.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Our second item of
business this evening is JJSK Inc. Retail
Cannabis Dispensary, Project Number 24-23.
It's here for a site plan, special use permit,
it's in an IB zone, and it's being represented
by Joseph Saffioti.

MR. SAFFIOTI: Good evening, members of the board. I'm happy to report that we had our referral and consideration by the Zoning Board of Appeals with the variances that were needed. All of the necessary variances were granted, including the determination that there would be the requisite -- that a cannabis facility doesn't constitute a facility primary for family use in which conditions will no longer apply in the town amendment to the cannabis law. Either way it doesn't matter, we got that sign off from the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Our client's engineer has updated the plans. We've addressed most of the technical comments. We -- the parking lot has been restriped. There were variances granted for the number of parking spaces. We added a dumpster area with an enclosure in the rear.

Т	JJSK Inc.
2	We proposed closing up the Meadow Avenue
3	entrance so that it's not so wide and
4	unattractive to traffic flow through the
5	parking lot. The plans have been forwarded to
6	New York State DOT for their review of the
7	entrance on 52, and they've been forwarded to
8	the town highway superintendent for his comment
9	on the Meadow Avenue entrance. The architect
10	noted the requirement for dark lighting. We
11	are going to provide specifications for new
12	light fixtures that will be installed on the
13	building to meet that requirement. The
14	landscaping was enhanced.
15	I believe that was most of the concerns.
16	And I believe we are ready to have the matter
17	scheduled for public hearing.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. We actually
19	can't set it for a public hearing because we
20	have to first refer to the Orange County
21	Planning Department.
22	MR. SAFFIOTI: I thought that was done
23	initially when we got
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you remember if it
25	was sent to the Orange County Planning

1	JJSK Inc.
2	Department?
3	MR. HINES: I don't believe so. I believe
4	we held off because it was referred for a
5	zoning variance.
6	MR. SAFFIOTI: The Zoning Board of Appeals
7	did refer it to Orange County Planning, which
8	said it was a local determination. They took
9	no action per the ZBA.
10	MR. CORDISCO: Unfortunately each board
11	has to send it.
12	MR. SAFFIOTI: I understand, you need to
13	refer out.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Keeping that in mind,
15	we'll open it up for discussion. John Ward.
16	MR. WARD: With the parking lot, we
17	suggested blacktopping.
18	MR. SAFFIOTI: We're going to repave the
19	entire parking.
20	MR. WARD: How much?
21	MR. SAFFIOTI: Whatever is required.
22	Typically two, three inches.
23	MR. WARD: What do you recommend?
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: An inch, inch and a
25	half, that's

1	JJSK Inc.
2	MR. SAFFIOTI: Yeah, whatever, whatever
3	the engineer whatever the parking lot
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, what would
5	you suggest for resurfacing?
6	MR. HINES: Yeah, typically the one and a
7	half inches is done. But we'll let, their
8	engineer can provide detail of that.
9	MR. WARD: And your dumpster, is that
10	going to be your individual dumpster enclosed?
11	MR. SAFFIOTI: I'm not quite sure on that
12	point, because the engineer through the
13	dumpster enclosure there's two other businesses
14	in the premises. The amount of refuse is very
15	minimal, typically like a household would
16	produce. So I don't know if we are going to
17	I would have to ask the clients to check with
18	their building owner to see whether we should
19	make the dumpster enclosure larger to allow
20	each tenant to have one in there or how what
21	will be arranged for. So it will be locked up.
22	MR. WARD: But other tenants, are they
23	going to have a key to it or, you know?
24	MR. SAFFIOTI: Well, there's really no
25	product that goes in the dumpster. It's really

1	JJSK Inc.
2	to deter someone to try to go see there's
3	nothing that's consumables thrown away. It's
4	all destroyed before it's before anything,
5	any the dumpster is typically just for the
6	cardboard boxes for packaging.
7	MR. WARD: And then my other question is
8	with the deli, they have a storage site that
9	they go in all their supplies and where your
10	parking spots are and the landscaping there.
11	MR. SAFFIOTI: We, I believe the owner of
12	the building has shown the plans to the deli
13	and they didn't have any comments. But we,
14	the where is the loading door for the deli?
15	MR. WARD: It's the side door they go in
16	of the deli.
17	MS. DANOSKY: I can't hear.
18	MR. SAFFIOTI: Where's the deli entrance,
19	how do they deliver their supplies?
20	MR. DOMINICK: By your handicap entrance.
21	MS. DANOSKY: Yeah, right in front of the
22	handicap.
23	MR. SAFFIOTI: By the handicap entrance.
24	MR. DOMINICK: On the side of the
25	building, right?

1	JJSK Inc.
2	MS. DANOSKY: The handicap is out front,
3	the side
4	MR. DOMINICK: Oh, I see the handicap,
5	it's here on the side.
6	MS. DANOSKY: Yeah. Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Just for the record,
8	can we have your name?
9	MS. DANOSKY: Angela Danosky.
10	MR. SAFFIOTI: So is there a door there?
11	MS. DANOSKY: There's a door here.
12	MR. SAFFIOTI: All right, we can probably
13	just eliminate the planter with the door on the
14	left, to allow them to continue to load on that
15	side.
16	MR. WARD: That should do it.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Lisa Carver.
18	MS. CARVER: So the hours of operation is
19	until like nine p.m.?
20	MR. SAFFIOTI: Nine p.m.
21	MS. CARVER: So is there lighting? You
22	have lighting on the building. Is there
23	lighting in the parking lot, like will that be
24	sufficient? I just want to be sure.
25	MR. SAFFIOTI: The building will light the

1	JJSK Inc.
2	whole parking lot.
3	MS. CARVER: Will light the whole parking
4	lot. Okay, that's it.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Clifford Browne.
6	MR. BROWNE: I don't have anything further
7	on this, thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No comment.
9	Do you have another comment?
10	MS. DeLUCA: No comment.
11	MR. DOMINICK: John touched on two of
12	them, but I want to just go off a little bit
13	more on what John said. Can you check with
14	your client to see if the dumpster is a shared
15	dumpster?
16	MR. SAFFIOTI: Angela.
17	MS. DANOSKY: As of right now the tenant
18	on the end, the gift store, does not use a
19	dumpster. They take their garbage home. And
20	the deli has their own dumpster which they
21	share with the gas station, which is in between
22	the two buildings. So I believe they would
23	have their own dumpster, it would be only
24	theirs.
25	MR. DOMINICK: Okay. And my second

Т	JJSK INC.
2	question is, you have the wintergreen boxwoods
3	going from pretty much the 52 side to the
4	Meadow Avenue side. You have a substantial
5	number of boxwoods in front of the existing
6	trees already, which seems redundant, and also
7	not necessary because it probably won't last
8	when you have the snow mitigation policy in
9	effect because the snow plows usually plow into
10	that. So we have one full season of boxwoods
11	and then we have nothing.
12	MR. SAFFIOTI: Yeah. The parking lot is,
13	basically encompasses all of the area outside
14	of the building. We've added as much
15	landscaping as we can. It's just put there to
16	try to meet the landscaping requirements.
17	MR. DOMINICK: Well, it will probably meet
18	the landscaping requirements until March and
19	then they're
20	MR. SAFFIOTI: And then they're gone.
21	MR. DOMINICK: So I think we need to
22	relook at that.
23	MR. SAFFIOTI: Okay.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines from MHE.
25	MR. HINES: Yeah. Our comments identify

1 JJSK Inc.

that the Zoning Board of Appeals issued
approvals on 26 September. We note that the
access to Meadow Avenue has been defined with
curbing. The highway superintendent's comments
on that is that is a town road, should be
received. I know Ken Wersted has been
reviewing that as well for the board from
Creighton Manning.

Parking access from Route 52 is an existing condition. There is a proposed landscaped island that was discussed at the corner of the building. As proposed, the dumpster enclosure has been added to the plans, as well as a loading dock area. Parking lot striping has been revised per the town's standard detail. The landscaping plan, that comment has to do with what Dave Dominick just discussed, the number of wintergreen boxwoods proposed in front of that existing evergreen landscape buffer.

The project is a special use under the Town's code. Submission to Orange County Planning is required. It would be an action the board could take tonight. The project is a

Τ	JJSK Inc.
2	Type II action under SEQRA. However, we are
3	recommending that the project be submitted to
4	DOT outside the SEQRA process so they can if
5	they have any comments on the Route 52 access
6	point. And a lighting plan has been provided
7	and we're requesting that they confirm that
8	it's dark sky compliant.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell, Code
10	Compliance.
11	MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, just a couple of
12	comments. We don't have any detail for the
13	zebra stripe between the handicap spots.
14	That's supposed to have a no parking sign for
15	that area.
16	MR. SAFFIOTI: The sign
17	MR. CAMPBELL: The third sign. I see the
18	other two. My other comment is dealing with
19	the existing sign, the proximity of what that
20	is. It's got to conform to today's code. And
21	once that gets changed, changing the face of a
22	sign is considered changing a sign.
23	MR. SAFFIOTI: For setback from the
24	highway?
25	MR. CAMPBELL: For setback, height and all

1	JJSK Inc.
2	that other stuff, yeah.
3	MR. SAFFIOTI: We'll have to look at the
4	signage.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco,
6	Planning Board attorney.
7	MR. CORDISCO: Nothing further other than
8	the board should consider referring this to the
9	County Planning Department.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Can I have a
11	motion to refer JJSK Inc. Retail Cannabis
12	Dispensary to the Orange County Planning
13	Department?
14	MR. WARD: So moved.
15	MR. DOMINICK: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
17	John Ward, I have a second by Dave Dominick.
18	May I have roll call vote starting with John
19	Ward.
20	MR. WARD: Aye.
21	MS. CARVER: Aye.
22	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
24	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
25	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.

1	JJSK Inc.
2	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
4	MR. SAFFIOTI: All right, so we'll
5	schedule, reschedule when you get the referral
6	back from County Planning?
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We could discuss that
8	now. So we have a meeting coming up on the
9	third, I believe, and we have a meeting coming
10	up on the 17th. Will that fall into the time
11	frame of the thirty days? If not, then it
12	would be, what's the date in December?
13	MR. CORDISCO: It would be November 21st 1
14	think it would be. That assumes that the
15	referral would be within the next couple of
16	days. It either would be November 21st, which
17	would be cutting it close, or the December
18	5th
19	MR. HINES: The fifth.
20	MR. CORDISCO: would be more realistic.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. So why
22	don't we make a motion to set this up, subject
23	to a response from the Orange County Planning
24	Department, for a public hearing on the fifth
25	of December. Someone make that motion.

1	JJSK Inc.
2	MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
3	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken
5	Mennerich, I have a second from Stephanie
6	DeLuca. May I have a roll call vote starting
7	with John Ward.
8	MR. WARD: Aye.
9	MS. CARVER: Aye.
10	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
12	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
13	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
14	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
15	MR. SAFFIOTI: Thank you guys.
16	MR. DOMINICK: Thank you.
17	(Time noted: 7:22 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	JJSK Inc.
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF NEW YORK
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)) SS:
5	COUNTY OF ORANGE)
6	
7	I, KARI L. REED, a Shorthand Reporter
8	(Stenotype) and Notary Public within and for
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
10	I reported the proceedings in the
11	within-entitled matter and that the within
12	transcript is a true record of such
13	proceedings.
14	I further certify that I am not related,
15	by blood or marriage, to any of the parties in
16	this matter and that I am in no way interested
17	in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand this 20th day of November 2024.
20	
21	Kari L Reed
22	
23	KARI L. REED
24	
25	

TOWN OF NEWBU	JRGH PLANNING BOARD
In the Matter	rof
CI	IAFFONE LOT LINE CHANGE (24-14)
	per Lane and 4 Whisper Lane
Section 4/;	Block 1; Lots 72.1, 72.2, 72.3 R1 Zone
	X
	LOT LINE CHANGE
	Thursday, October 17, 2024
	7:23 p.m. Town of Newburgh Town Hall
	Newburgh, New York
BOARD MEMBERS	•
	KENNETH MENNERICH, Vice Chairmar CLIFFORD C. BROWNE STEPHANIE DELUCA
	JOHN WARD
	DAVID DOMINICK LISA CARVER
ALCO DECENT	DATRICK I LITHIC
ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
	JIM CAMPBELL, Code Enforcement
	REPRESENTATIVE: JONATHAN N. MILLEN,
LLS/ACES	
Reported by:	Kari L. Reed
	MICHELLE L. CONERO
	Court Reporter michelleconero@hotmail.com

1	Ciaffone Lot Line Change
2	MR. MILLEN: Good evening.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Hi.
4	MR. MILLEN: Hi.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Good to see you.
6	The third item of business this evening is
7	the Ciaffone Lot Line Change, Project Number
8	24-14. It's a lot line change in R1, and it's
9	being represented by Jonathan Millen.
10	MR. MILLEN: Right. So we had, recently
11	we had three parcels, approximately a half acre
12	each. Two of them that had frontage on Route
13	52, and the other one was Gardner Road. And we
14	are going to subdivide them into two parcels,
15	approximately .75 acres each. There was some
16	variances for side yard setback and a minimum
17	lot width that were approved by the Zoning
18	Board.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines.
20	MR. HINES: Yeah. We note that the
21	project received variances at the 26 September
22	Zoning Board meeting. The Highway
23	Superintendent's comments were received
24	regarding the access to Gardnertown Road. He
25	takes no exception to the existing access

1	Ciaffone Lot Line Change
2	remaining. Lot lines are a type Two II action
3	under SEQRA. And again, the project, lot lines
4	are not considered a subdivision by your code.
5	This project results in three lots becoming two
6	lots, and no new construction is proposed.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell, do you
8	have any comments?
9	MR. CAMPBELL: No comments.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So Dominic, the
11	action before us this evening is?
12	MR. CORDISCO: Would be considered
13	granting the conditional final approval. The
14	only conditions would be payment of fees, but
15	in connection with that I would recommend that
16	there not be a recreation fee associated with
17	this lot line change because we're not creating
18	a new residential lot, as we said.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. So would
20	someone make a motion to approve the lot line
21	change for Ciaffone, Project Number 24-14,
22	located on 2 Whisper Lane and 4 Whisper Lane?
23	MR. DOMINICK: I make a motion.
24	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by

1	Ciaffone Lot Line Change
2	Dave Dominick, I have a second by Stephanie
3	DeLuca. May I have a roll call vote starting
4	with John Ward.
5	MR. WARD: Aye.
6	MS. CARVER: Aye.
7	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
9	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
10	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
11	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
12	MR. MILLEN: Okay, thank you very much, I
13	appreciate it. Have a great evening.
L 4	(Time noted: 7:25 p.m.)
15	
16	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Ciaffone Lot Line Change
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF NEW YORK
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)) SS:
5	COUNTY OF ORANGE)
6	
7	I, KARI L. REED, a Shorthand Reporter
8	(Stenotype) and Notary Public within and for
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
10	I reported the proceedings in the
11	within-entitled matter and that the within
12	transcript is a true record of such
13	proceedings.
14	I further certify that I am not related,
15	by blood or marriage, to any of the parties in
16	this matter and that I am in no way interested
17	in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand this 17th day of November 2024.
20	
21	
22	Kari L Reed
23	KARI L. REED
24	
25	

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD	
In the Matter of	(
ANCHORAGE - LOT #3 (2023-06)	
Mariners Court Section 121; Block 1; Lot 3	
R-1 Zone	
>	(
PUBLIC HEARING AMENDED SUBDIVISION	
Thursday, October 17, 7:26 p.m.	2024
Town of Newburgh Town Newburgh, New York	ı Hall
Newburgh, New Tork	
BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH, Vice Ch	
CLIFFORD C. BROWNE STEPHANIE DELUCA	ia i i iliai i
JOHN WARD DAVID DOMINICK	
LISA CARVER	
ALSO PRESENT: PATRICK J. HINES	
DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. JIM CAMPBELL, Code Enforce	ement
APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: KELLY BAER	
Reported by: Kari L. Reed	
MICHELLE L. CONERO	
Court Reporter michelleconero@hotmail.com	

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number four is a
3	public hearing. It's Anchorage Lot 3, Project
4	Number 23-06, in the R1 zone. It's being
5	represented by Engineering & Surveying
6	Properties. It's an amended subdivision.
7	MS. BAER: Good evening. My name is Kelly
8	Baer from Engineering & Surveying Properties.
9	I'm here on behalf of Ross Winglovitz. I'm
10	here to address any public comments or the
11	Board's comments for the public hearing. And I
12	would like to also note that we will be in
13	touch with a resubmission of the package with
14	outstanding comments.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You may have to speak
16	up a little louder, please.
17	MS. BAER: I apologize.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You don't have to
19	apologize.
20	MS. BAER: Good evening. I'm appearing on
21	behalf of Ross Winglovitz of Engineering &
22	Surveying Properties. I'm here to address the
23	Board and the public's comments in regards to
24	the public hearing. And with no action, as you
25	remember, the main change of this whole plan on

Τ	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	behalf of the Planning Board is in regards to a
3	four bedroom into a five bedroom. And so we
4	had to go to the Orange County Health
5	Department for a redesign of the septic system
6	and then we have to go for further approval
7	from them. So we're here on behalf of the
8	Board and everybody that has comments to make.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I'll have Ken
10	Mennerich read the Notice of Hearing.
11	MR. MENNERICH: (Reading:) "Notice of
12	Hearing, Town of Newburgh Planning Board.
13	Please take notice that the Planning Board of
14	the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York
15	will hold a public hearing pursuant to Section
16	274-A of the New York State Town Law.
17	"Anchorage on the Hudson Lot 3 Amended
18	Site Subdivision, Project 2023-06. The
19	project is an amended subdivision to change the
20	access drive location from the approved plan
21	from Mariners Court to River Road. The project
22	proposes to construct a five bedroom single
23	family residential structure. Approximately
24	5,400 plus or minus cubic yards of material
25	will be imported to the site. Two retaining

Т	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	walls are proposed to be constructed east of
3	the proposed residential structure to permit
4	the proposed regrading of the site. The
5	project will be served by an on-site well. The
6	project has also received approval from the
7	Orange County Health Department for the
8	subsurface sanitary sewer disposal system to
9	serve the five bedroom residence. The project
10	site is 1.12 plus or minus acre parcel of
11	property. The project site is known within the
12	Town's R-1 Zoning District. The project is
13	designated on the Town's tax maps as Section
14	121, Block 1, Lot 3.
15	"A public hearing will be held on the 17th
16	day of October 2024 at the Town Hall Meeting
17	Room, 1496 Route 300, Newburgh, New York at
18	seven p.m. or as soon thereafter, at which time
19	all interested persons will be given an
20	opportunity to be heard.
21	"By order of the Town of Newburgh Planning
22	Board, John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, Planning
23	Board, Town of Newburgh, dated 1 October,
24	2024."
25	I just wanted to make a few comments about

Anchorage -	Lot	3
-------------	-----	---

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the public hearing process that we're holding.

I'd like to explain how the Planning Board

manages the public hearing so as to have an

orderly and productive hearing.

The project applicant or the representative for the project will give an overview of the project. The Planning Board Chairman will then open the hearing for questions or comments on the project. At this point you can raise your hand and be recognized by the chairman. Please give your first name before asking a question or commenting, just your first name. The applicant or Planning Board technical representatives may respond to your request -- your questions. Once you have finished, you need to wait until all persons that wanted to speak have had a chance. Once everyone has had an opportunity to speak, the Chairman will recognize people that want to speak again. And that process can continue. The Planning Board welcomes your comments and input on the issues pertaining to this project. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. So at this

3

point, as Mr. Mennerich just said, we'll open the hearing for public comment. So if there's anyone here, please raise your hand, give your name. Thank you.

BARRY: Yes, hi. My first name is Barry. We live right across I think from that project. And we've been here before at a, at a more recent meeting about another project, I think it might be lot one, just a few feet away. We discussed the -- that we live on the hill on above it. We're, we're -- we're west of that, and there's a grade that goes down into the area. And we are concerned that any projects there that change the height of the proposed buildings will block our view. It's a Hudson River view, it's a gorgeous view. And we're, we are concerned that there's a possibility of doing that.

The Anchorage has a main entrance and goes down to the bottom, and then the way those homes are supposed to go is if you drive up, and obviously the house won't be on the top if the normal entrances are used, but the houses on River Road, which is much, much higher, we

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	worry about that house being taller, higher and
3	can block our view. There is a house just,
4	just a few feet south that did that, and it
5	blocks the view of our neighbor. And so we're
6	concerned about that.
7	As far as the five bedrooms and the, the
8	change in septic, that's not a problem, or a
9	well, that's not a problem for us.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat, what is the
11	proposed height of the new structure?
12	MR. HINES: That is not labeled on the
13	plans. It says less than 35 feet in the bulk
14	table.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: In an R Zone what's
16	the maximum height the project could be?
17	MR. HINES: Thirty-five feet, R1 Zone.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Did you hear what he
19	said?
20	BARRY: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So we work
22	within compliance of the bulk schedule for the
23	zoning district. So the bulk schedule allows
24	for something to be 35 feet. Then the owner
25	has the right to build something to that

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	height.
3	BARRY: I don't have the greatest
4	understanding of how that would affect our
5	view. I know that the last time the people who
6	built the house did something to enable us to
7	look at the view, and they actually came with a
8	compromise which we accepted. And that meeting
9	was probably my guess is a little over a month
10	ago on that. And those were all reasonable
11	things. I just, I have no way of knowing that,
12	but I'm letting you know our concern. And
13	that's about the best I can do.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco,
15	would you speak to that?
16	MR. CORDISCO: Yeah. You know, as the
17	Chairman mentioned, you know, this board's
18	purview is to review plans to make sure that
19	the plans comply with the Town Code. And as it
20	relates to private rights or impacts like to
21	view sheds from your particular house, it's not
22	something that the Town Code provides a remedy
23	for this board to review and make changes. If

the house is proposed to be within the height

limit set by the code, then this board is

24

25

Anchorage – I	Lot	3
---------------	-----	---

looking at whether or not it meets the code requirements, not so much as whether or not it has an impact on neighboring properties. That said, you know, you're free to speak to the applicant's representative to see whether or not you can find out additional details as to how it might affect you, and also as to whether or not there's any kind of accommodation that could be made as it might relate to your view.

BARRY: Yes. Just logically, if the entrance is down along the lower area, you would think that the house would be built somewhere between there and that, and the house would be a certain height but it won't be as high as if it was, if the entrance is on a grade that's so much higher. So if the, if the board said that it's supposed to be, the entrance is supposed to be on the lower side, that would, that would make sense and that's what we're talking about.

MR. CORDISCO: Understood. You know, and you're not incorrect that the original plan was to have the entrance along Anchorage or along Mariners Court, yes, that's right. But the

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	applicant is applying to change that, which is
3	their right to request the change for.
4	BARRY: Maybe just one, it seemed like
5	this board was did show an interest to, to
6	our point of view the last time we were here,
7	and we appreciate that. And that's a little
8	different from what you're saying today. But
9	imagine that when the Anchorage put their
10	original proposal out, that was probably part
11	of the consideration which the town agreed to,
12	to keep everybody in the whole area, including
13	the people at the Anchorage, having that
L 4	gorgeous view, including the people who are,
15	who are on the west side of that. So maybe
16	that was the reason why they chose to have that
17	entrance in that spot.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Go ahead.
19	JUANITA: Yeah. My name is Juanita. Wha
20	is the benefit to you if the entrance is from
21	River Road instead of from the development?
22	What are you trying to avoid or, or benefit of
23	MS. BAER: I believe it was originally a

pump station for the septic. Mr. Hines, I

apologize, I just started in this project.

24

25

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	I believe the original house location being
3	down here in the bottom portion of the site,
4	the lower topographic site, if we have a septic
5	system up here, you have to pump it and create
6	pump chambers and it goes through a whole
7	system and it costs a lot more money.
8	JUANITA: Won't you have to pump it in any
9	case?
10	MS. BAER: In the case of here where the
11	septic
12	JUANITA: When you do pump?
13	MS. BAER: Yes. But in the case of down
14	here when we have the, it's the natural flow of
15	gravity, you would have to then pump everything
16	up into the drainage system, and I believe the
17	well location came down a lot further and it
18	became conflicting potentially. So that was
19	the whole design of why we are relocating the
20	house higher up, so that way it was higher
21	above the septic.
22	JUANITA: So it's not because you don't
23	have a good view?
24	MS. BAER: No, it was nothing in that
25	case. There was no consideration of the view

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	or viewshed.
3	JUANITA: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Are you the proposed
5	owner?
6	JESSE: Me?
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yeah.
8	JESSE: No.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right, I just was
10	wondering, okay.
11	JESSE: I have a question, though. I was
12	just looking for my name is Jesse. I'm
13	looking for clarification on the rule about
14	35-в. what does that mean?
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines.
16	MR. HINES: Yeah. The town has zoning
17	code requirements. This is in the R-1 zone.
18	So maximum building height in the R-1 zone is
19	35 feet based on the underlying zoning. So
20	that's the highest that a structure could be
21	permitted by the building department to be
22	constructed.
23	JESSE: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any additional
25	questions or comments?

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	(No response)
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I turn it over to the
4	board members. Dave Dominick.
5	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing. Thank you for
6	coming out, sir and ma'am, to share your
7	concern. I appreciate the engineering
8	answering those questions. I have nothing
9	further.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca.
11	MS. DeLUCA: No, I have nothing. Thank
12	you for coming out and expressing your
13	concerns. And right now I have nothing further
14	to add.
15	MR. MENNERICH: Do you want to read the
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yeah, I think we can
17	do that. We received an email from a Mr.
18	Brophy in reference to the Ken Mennerich
19	will speak on it.
20	MR. MENNERICH: The email came in this
21	afternoon actually, and it was sent to the
22	Planning Board, Town of Newburgh. The subject
23	is the "Public Hearing Comments for Anchorage
24	Lot Number 3 Amended Subdivision."
25	(Reading:) "To the Members of the

Anchorage - Lot 3

Planning Board, my name is Joe Brophy, and I reside at 750 River Road, which is just north of the subject property. My property adjoins Anchorage Subdivision and has frontage on both River Road and Anchorage Drive. Thank you for this opportunity to share my comments via email, as I will not be able to attend tonight's planning public hearing.

"Myself and several neighbors are concerned about the traffic safety hazard this amendment could present if approved. This section of River Road is known to nearby residents for its hazards due to reduced sight lines and motorists traveling in excessive speed. My neighbors and I have had to request extra police patrols at times to prevent motorists speeding and overtaking other traffic across the double yellow lines.

"As the board is aware, River Road is an alternative for Route 9W during periods of congestion. Drivers who choose to use it at such times use this specific section of River Road, which is the longest and straightest section. as their best chance to overtake

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	slower moving traffic. In addition to motor
3	vehicle traffic, pedestrians enjoy bicycling,
4	walking, jogging and strolling with their pets
5	along River Road. Not to mention the
6	significant amount of crossings by wildlife.
7	"Permitting additional driveways will only
8	add to the hazard and reinforces a bad
9	precedent. The developers should use Mariners
10	Court for driveway access, which will not
11	adversely affect river views, just as the
12	original subdivision approval set forth. This
13	will limit River Road access to the existing
14	and well-known Anchor Drive intersection, which
15	is gated and lit at night.
16	"If the board has any questions regarding
17	the hazards to local residents, I suggest the
18	town's traffic consultant conduct a proper
19	traffic safety assessment before approving this
20	amendment. Thank you, Joseph, Joe Brophy and
21	Angela Laikin."
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, I think we
23	have an approval from the highway department?
24	MR. HINES: Yeah. The Highway
25	Superintendent has reviewed the site. He

Τ	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	provided a letter dated 18 September that says,
3	"I met with Mike Maher today to go over the
4	site plan for the new construction in the
5	Anchorage development. After discussing
6	driveway location, because of constraints and
7	existing relocations of other driveways, I have
8	no objection to allowing the driveway access
9	off of River Road. If you have any questions,
10	please feel free to call my office."
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
12	Cliff Browne.
13	MR. BROWNE: I don't have anything
14	additional, John, thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Lisa Carver.
16	MS. CARVER: Do you have the height of the
17	house yet or you don't know yet?
18	MS. BAER: I don't know yet. I can
19	actually be in touch with that. I'll speak
20	with the owner, see if he has architectural
21	plans, and if not, advise him that when he gets
22	them, so that way I can pass or forward that
23	information to anybody who has concerns. That
24	way you can address them as well.
25	MS. CARVER: Thank you.

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward.
3	MR. WARD: Yes. Thank you for coming out.
4	And at the same time, the property owner has
5	rights to the property and is going through the
6	Town of Newburgh doing all the regulations
7	they're required to do. So I'm happy you
8	brought it up, but we already looked at it and
9	made sure. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any further questions
11	from the public?
12	(No response)
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Would someone
14	move a motion to
15	MR. DOMINICK: John.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you have a
17	MS. DeLUCA: I don't know if this is out
18	of line, but my comment in regards to the
19	traffic or should I wait on that?
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You can provide it
21	now.
22	MS. DeLUCA: I was just curious, would
23	there be any possibility of putting like speed
24	humps?
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll let Pat Hines

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	speak about speed humps, but speed humps
3	aren't
4	MR. HINES: Yeah, that would be outside
5	the scope of this project. That's something
6	the Town Highway Superintendent or someone
7	would have to address.
8	MS. DeLUCA: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. So would
10	someone make a motion to close the public
11	hearing on the Anchorage Lot 3 on Mariners
12	Court?
13	MS. CARVER: So moved.
14	MR. BROWNE: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
16	Lisa Carver, I have a second from Cliff Browne.
17	Can I have a roll call vote starting with Dave
18	Dominick.
19	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
21	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
23	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
24	MS. CARVER: Aye.
25	MR. WARD: Aye.

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point in time
3	we turn the meeting over to Jim Campbell. Do
4	you have any comments?
5	MR. CAMPBELL: No comments at this time.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines from MHE.
7	MR. HINES: Yeah. Our comments are a note
8	has been added to the plans identifying a
9	building permit is required for the retaining
10	walls. An additional erosion sediment control
11	sheet has been added to the plans. Based on
12	the placement of the fill on the site, we're
13	recommending that a phase plan be developed for
L 4	the soil erosion sediment control. The phasing
15	plan should address timing of the construction
16	of the retaining walls and detailed erosion and
17	sediment control for each phase. Also to show
18	the discharge location for the drainage from
19	the retaining walls. This project is here for
20	an amended subdivision. The original
21	subdivision in October 2002 did show the access
22	from Mariners Court for the lots. And it's
23	here before you tonight to request modification
24	to that subdivision, changing the access to

River Road.

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Dominic
3	Cordisco, Planning Board Attorney.
4	MR. CORDISCO: Yes. The applicant's
5	representative indicated that they're going to
6	make an additional submission to respond to the
7	outstanding comments as well, and so I would
8	also encourage them to respond to the public
9	comments as part of that submission so that the
10	board can consider their response to how they
11	suggest the board should consider those
12	comments as well. But the time frame for the
13	board to act is 62 days from the close of the
14	public hearing. But since you indicated that
15	there's going to be a resubmission, we would
16	ask that you acknowledge that that time frame
17	is suspended, because the applicant still has
18	work to do in connection with the application.
19	(Ms. Baer nods head.)
20	MR. CORDISCO: So if you could say that
21	out loud.
22	MS. BAER: Yes.
23	MR. CORDISCO: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
25	(Time noted: 7:45 p.m.)

1	Anchorage - Lot 3
2	CERTIFICATE
3 4	STATE OF NEW YORK)) SS: COUNTY OF ORANGE)
5	,
6	
7	I, KARI L. REED, a Shorthand Reporter
8	(Stenotype) and Notary Public within and for
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
10	I reported the proceedings in the
11	within-entitled matter and that the within
L 2	transcript is a true record of such
L3	proceedings.
L 4	I further certify that I am not related,
15	by blood or marriage, to any of the parties in
L 6	this matter and that I am in no way interested
L7	in the outcome of this matter.
L8	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
L 9	hand this 21st day of November 2024.
20	
21	
22	Kari L Reed
23	KARI L. REED
24	
25	

	In the Matter of
	LANDS OF LL'S PRESTIGE HOMES, LLC
	(24–30)
	Corner of Charles Street and Elmhurst Avenue Section 53; Block 2; Lot 10 & 11
	R-1 Zone
	X
	LOT LINE CHANGE
	Thursday, October 17, 2024 8:04 p.m.
	Town of Newburgh Town Hall Newburgh, New York
	Newburgii, New York
	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman
	KENNETH MENNERICH, Vice Chairmar CLIFFORD C. BROWNE
	STEPHANIE DELUCA JOHN WARD
	DAVID DOMINICK LISA CARVER
	ALSO PRESENT: PATRICK J. HINES
	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. JIM CAMPBELL, Code Enforcement
	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: KEN LYTLE
	Reported by: Kari L. Reed
	MICHELLE L. CONERO
	Court Reporter michelleconero@hotmail.com

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Our last item of
3	business is the Lands of LL's Prestige Homes,
4	LLC. It's located at the corner of Charles
5	Street and Elmhurst Avenue in an R-1 zone. And
6	it's being represented by Ken Lytle of Zen
7	Consultants.
8	MR. LYTLE: Good evening. Before you
9	tonight is two existing residential lots, one
10	much smaller in size than the second lot.
11	We're proposing to do a lot line change to make
12	it more compatible and more suitable for two
13	individual residences. There's an existing
14	residence pretty much down in the corner. Part
15	of the proposal would be to have that removed
16	during this proposal. New septics, new wells
17	would be installed. One of the existing
18	driveways will be used. And we proposed and
19	we have to go to the Zoning Board, again, for
20	the areas of both lots are under the size
21	requirements, and the one lot we have a lot
22	line width issue. Instead of it being 150 feet

25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: For the record, the

it, that's the project.

23

24

we have 126 feet of width. That's pretty much

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes
2	lot area deficiency for each lot is?
3	MR. LYTLE: So the proposed lot will be
4	approximately 20,000 square feet in each lot,
5	approximately.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Deficient.
7	MR. LYTLE: Deficient.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So you need,
9	what's the square footage?
10	MR. LYTLE: Forty thousand square feet is
11	required.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And the lots are
13	approximately?
L 4	MR. LYTLE: Approximately 20,000. One is
15	like 20,000, a little over 20,000, and one is
16	at 18 and change.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And the other variance
18	that is being asked for?
19	MR. LYTLE: Is the lot width. We need to
20	have 150 foot width, and we have 126 feet of
21	width. Everything else meets the required
22	zoning.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from the
24	board members?
25	lim Camphell

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes
2	MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. Being that these are
3	both corner lots, you're required to have two
4	front yards, a side yard and a rear yard.
5	Which, where are your rear yards, which would
6	require 40 feet?
7	MR. LYTLE: Yup. On the actual, the
8	southwestern lot, lot 11, the 40 feet would be
9	in this back side.
10	MR. CAMPBELL: What are you calling the
11	back side?
12	MR. LYTLE: Right alongside here. The one
13	actually is, again, would be opposite Elmhurst.
14	MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Do you have less
15	than 40 from the jog going to your right?
16	MR. LYTLE: Right, we did actually, right
17	in this tree area here, and everything else
18	would be actually a side yard. Do you want to
19	run that 40 feet across the whole entire thing?
20	And so we would rotate the house and put it on
21	the top line.
22	MR. CAMPBELL: Well, that line, but I
23	think it's more clear on the other one, which
24	is
25	MR. LYTLE: This one here.

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes
2	MR. CAMPBELL: That whole box to the side
3	and the rear of the house is only 30.
4	MR. LYTLE: So we'll have to actually
5	adjust this on the north side will bring it out
6	to 40.
7	MR. CAMPBELL: You have to determine which
8	one
9	MR. LYTLE: Yeah, that's fine.
10	MR. CAMPBELL: You decide which one.
11	MR. LYTLE: Label it right on the plan?
12	MR. CAMPBELL: Mm-hmm.
13	MR. LYTLE: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
15	Pat Hines with MHE.
16	MR. HINES: The project is a lot line
17	change between two adjoining parcels. One of
18	the parcels contains an existing single family
19	residence identified as to be removed. Zoning
20	Board of Appeals approval for the lot line is
21	required, as the resulting lots will not meet
22	the minimum R-1 lot area of 40,000 square feet.
23	Tax lot ten proposes 18,167 square feet. Tax
24	map 11 proposes 20,045 square feet. Also, tax
25	lot 11 does not meet the minimum lot width of

Lands of LL's Prestige Homes

150 feet where 126 feet is proposed.

I'm requesting Dominic's comments on the, regarding the existing residence to be removed. We need some form of assurances at the approval that that will be removed, that it is not zoning compliant right now. I don't know how they work that or whether it's removed prior to final approval. Something needs to make sure that goes away, that the lot line doesn't get approved and then it stays there.

The driveway location should receive highway superintendent approval. Compliance with the town's tree ordinance must be documented. The septic system design chart should identify the absorption fields as Elgin proprietary systems. There is shallow absorption trench system notes on the plans, but it looks like they're conventional septic systems, so that will need to be cleaned up. The EAF identifies potential habitat for protected bat species. And that clearing notes restriction should be added to the plans to address that. And I don't know if the discussion you just had with Mr. Campbell will

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes
2	require an additional variance or it just needs
3	to be shown.
4	MR. LYTLE: I believe we can adjust that
5	on the plan and we'll submit it over to Jim to
6	make sure. And then if it can be directed to
7	the ZBA, if you're at that point.
8	MR. HINES: They'll
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco.
10	Excuse me.
11	MR. HINES: It'll need adjoiners notices
12	as well. They don't have that.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Dominic
14	Cordisco, Planning Board Attorney, a referral
15	letter to the Zoning Board of Appeals?
16	MR. CORDISCO: That would be an
17	appropriate step at this point. As Pat had
18	noted, the minimum R-1 lot area is 40,000
19	square feet. The one lot is being proposed at
20	18,167 and the other one at 20,045 square feet,
21	so both are deficient, as well as the minimum
22	lot width for tax lot 11, where 126 is provided
23	but 150 is required.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Would someone
25	make a motion to have Planning Board Attorney

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes
2	Dominic Cordisco prepare a referral letter to
3	the Zoning Board of Appeals?
4	MR. WARD: So moved.
5	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
7	John Ward, I have a second by Stephanie DeLuca
8	May I have a roll call vote starting with Dave
9	Dominick.
10	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
11	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
12	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
14	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
15	MS. CARVER: Aye.
16	MR. WARD: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion carried.
18	Would someone make a motion to close the
19	meeting of October 17th.
20	MS. DeLUCA: So moved.
21	MS. CARVER: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
23	Stephanie DeLuca and a second by Lisa Carver.
24	May I have a roll call vote starting with John
25	Ward.

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes
2	MR. WARD: Aye.
3	MS. CARVER: Aye.
4	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
7	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
8	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
9	(Time noted: 8:10 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF NEW YORK
4	STATE OF NEW YORK) SSUMMY OF SPANSE
5	COUNTY OF ORANGE)
6	
7	I, KARI L. REED, a Shorthand Reporter
8	(Stenotype) and Notary Public within and for
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
10	I reported the proceedings in the
11	within-entitled matter and that the within
12	transcript is a true record of such
13	proceedings.
14	I further certify that I am not related,
15	by blood or marriage, to any of the parties in
16	this matter and that I am in no way interested
17	in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand this 21st day of November 2024.
20	
21	Kari L Rood
22	
23	KARI L. REED
24	
25	

		: COUNTY OF ORANGE PLANNING BOARD
In the	e Matter of	X
	NEWBURG	H SHOPPES PHASE III (23-16)
		nd 1219 Route 300
S	Section 96;	Block 1; Lot 6.2 and 11.1 IB Zone
		x
	SI	PUBLIC HEARING ITE PLAN/SUBDIVISION
		Thursday, October 17, 2024
		7:46 p.m. Town of Newburgh Town Hall
		Newburgh, New York
BOARD	MEMBERS:	KENNETH MENNERICH, Vice Chairma
		CLIFFORD C. BROWNE STEPHANIE DELUCA
		JOHN WARD DAVID DOMINICK
		LISA CARVER
ALSO F	PRESENT:	PATRICK J. HINES
		DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. JIM CAMPBELL, Code Enforcement
		Jan Cam Beer, Code Entroi Content
ADDL 7	CANTIC DEDDE	
APPL1(JANI S KEPKE	SENTATIVE: JERAME SECARAS, P.E.
Ranort	ted by: Kar	ri I Reed
		MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter
	mı ch	elleconero@hotmail.com

2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The next item of
3	business is the Newburgh Shoppes Phase III. It
4	is a public hearing on the site plan and
5	subdivision. It is located on Route 300 in an
6	IB zone, and it's being represented by Kelly
7	Libolt.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MENNERICH: (Reading:) "Notice of Hearing, Town of Newburgh Planning Board. Please take notice that the Planning Board of the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York, will hold a public hearing pursuant to Section 274-A of the New York State Town Law and Chapter 185-57, Section K of the Town of Newburgh Code on the Application of Shoppes at Union Phase III, Project 2023-16. The project proposes a two lot subdivision and site plan for self-storage facility. Existing site is 11.4 plus or minus parcel of property. The applicants are proposing a 2-lot subdivision. Lot B contains a storage facility which will be 6.39 plus or minus acres. Lot A will be four plus or minus acres. Proposed Phase III development will consist of a one story climate controlled self-storage building and five

2	one-story conventional self-storage buildings,
3	totaling 125,629 square feet. Access to the
4	site will be through the existing shopping
5	center and an additional access point at the
6	western portion of Orr Avenue. The project
7	will be served by connections to the Town of
8	Newburgh municipal water and sanitary sewer
9	collection system. Stormwater management
10	facilities are proposed to treat both the water
11	quantity and water quality. The project site
12	is known within the Town's IB Zoning District.
13	The site is located in the Town Tax Maps as
L 4	Section 96, Block 1, Lot 6.2, and Section 96,
15	Block 1, Lot 11.1.
16	"A public hearing will be held on the 17th
17	day of October 2024 at the Town Hall Meeting
18	Room, 1496 Route 300, Newburgh, New York at
19	7:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter, at which time

7:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter, at which time all interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard. By order of the Town of Newburgh Planning Board, John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, Planning Board, Town of Newburgh, dated 24 September 2024."

25

MR. SECARAS: Good evening. My name is

2 Jerame Secaras. It's J-E-R-A-M-E, 3 S-E-C-A-R-A-S. I'm here on behalf of the applicant. I'm with Langan Engineering. 4 I'm a licensed PE in the state of 5 I -A-N-G-A-N New York. 6 7 So I just wanted to clarify two things 8 that were on that announcement. There are. 9 it's actually a three-story climate controlled 10 building, and the rest of them are one story. And the total square footage may have been 11 12 including the stories rather than just the 13 footprint. The footprint is 65,000 square 14 feet. And the prior approval that we had, this 15 was approved years ago, over a decade ago, and during that approval there was a 71,000 square 16 17 foot building that was proposed here. So we're actually proposing a reduction from the 18 19 original approval. I believe we already -- so 20 we were before the ZBA for some variances, 21 which were approved back on July 25th. understand we have since also received a 22 23 negative declaration on the project. So we are 24 here to do the public hearing. 25 I was going to keep -- I was going to go

through what I previously testified last month very briefly since the public hearing, but I can also just skip to comments. So I defer to the board. Would you like me to go through sort of the five minute version or the two minute version?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think I would, I would high point some of the finer points of the plan, and then we'll leave it open for the public for their comments. Do you have any additional information you'd like to add?

MR. SECARAS: Certainly. So the site is a three phase development, as you mentioned. The initial phases was a total of five acres, four acres that are part of this lot, and another acre that was part of the overall five acres is a commercial shopping center. Those two phases were already built. This is the third phase, which is going to be the self-storage. It has access to Orr Avenue and access to Route 300 through Phase II. We have a regulated stream that sort of naturally bisects Phase III from Phases I and II, and that's the sort of change in use.

There are eight parking spaces, including the eight spaces which are located at the office outside the secure area, because the access to the site will be gated and secured, the site will be fenced. And we will be working with the fire department to make sure that access is provided through the secure area.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll skip to the grade elevations. there are, the elevations on Phase III are generally highest near the northeastern side of the site adjacent to the Lowe's, and about a 25 foot grade change across the site. The north has the balance, it's got various cuts, which is purposeful, and there are cuts and fills of about ten feet over across the site. grade down from the Lowe's site towards the flatter area to do the self-storage, and then grade down towards the water, stormwater basins into the existing grade. There's a total of three proposed stormwater basins with the associated piping applicable structures. As was mentioned in the notice, the stormwater basins were designed for both stormwater

quality and quantity. They exceed New York
State DEC requirements. Specifically, the
water quality was designed to provide greater
than 110% of the required water quality volume,
and conveyances provided for the 25 year storm.
Both of those have been submitted to NIT. We
recently received comments from them, and I'll
get to the comments later in a second.

In terms of utilities, electric service is going to be provided after we coordinate with the utility provider, Central Hudson. There's no gas service proposed. Sewer is going to be city sewer through an existing easement, which is on the north side of the property. We do understand that we have to go to Orange County Department -- I'm sorry, to the City of Newburgh flow acceptance for the sewer. And we also are -- have water service that will connect through the service in Phase II. We understand that Orange County Department of Health permitting will be needed for the water main extension, and we will be looking for that condition of approval.

The landscaping, we provide extensive

plantings along the entrance areas and the perimeter areas. Less so inside the secured areas, as is typical for this type of use, but it does meet the Town Code.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our tree plan was revised to address MHE's prior comments and to also meet the latest version of the Town Tree Code. So we used marked sample plots in which we located all the significant and protected specimen trees. There were no protected trees identified within those sample plots. All specimen trees were located and identified and tagged on site. And we're proposing a somewhat condensed development to provide appropriate space for buildings, safe circulation, required stormwater basins, and, if necessary, grading. And it's located, it's planned right as close to the Lowe's as possible. We actually got a variance to do so, in order to maintain as many existing trees as we can along the perimeter and along the stream corridor. And we are complying with the tree ordinance in terms of tree removal, and we provided the required calculations to show that in the submission.

In terms of comment letters, we previously received comments from C&E in regards to truck movement. We addressed them in our response letter and provided revised truck movement plans. We haven't received any additional comments. We received county comments and provided responses in our cover letter with the submission to the board. The county comments were largely advisory, so we defer to the board if you have any questions on our responses, but we didn't have anything more to add beyond the responses we provided.

and finally we received, as I mentioned earlier, MHE's revised technical or updated technical review as well as their SWPPP comments. Those are largely administrative or technical to the extent that we're not expecting any major significant changes to our design. We have to provide some more detail in some areas in order to show how we're being compliant with or addressing those comments.

There are several items that we would like to request be made conditions of approval. As mentioned, one of those is the Orange County

2 Health Department approval. Another is the 3 specific comment that came up in the recent letter regarding the SWPPP. And so one of the 4 things that's required for stormwater basins 5 that have infiltration is percolation testing, 6 7 infiltration testing. We are aware of that, 8 we're not looking for a waiver from that. What 9 we have, what we would like to do is provide a 10 memo to MHE explaining why we have assumed 11 minimal infiltration rate, basically code 12 minimum, a half inch per hour, and that the 13 prior geotechnical information that was from 14 over a decade ago does support the granular 15 soil, which would have a higher, typically have a much higher infiltration rate. And so the 16 17 idea is we'll provide that backup as to where 18 we are, and what we would ask to do is have a, 19 make the infiltration testing a condition of 20 approval as well. With the idea being that 21 once we clear the site, because of the bats and the tree clearing restrictions, we clear the 22 23 site and then we can get in there and do the 24 borings and doing that perc testing, and 25 basically then we would provide a confirming

2	report showing that it meets or exceeds the
3	requirements. If we have to make changes we
4	can to meet the approval, but I'm not expecting
5	that will be a problem, given the historic
6	information. And I believe that is all I have.
7	Any questions, let me know.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Is there anyone
9	here this evening who has any questions or
LO	comments on the proposed Shoppes Phase III?
11	(No response)
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay, let the record
13	show that there is no public comment, public
L 4	hearing this evening. At this point we'll turn
15	the meeting over to Pat Hines with MHE.
16	MR. HINES: Yeah, we have comments. We
L 7	believe that the two access points need DEC
18	approval for stream crossing permits.
19	Confirmation that the Orange Lake Fire
20	District comments have been addressed.
21	The project is a subdivision, and access
22	and maintenance agreements will be required
23	between the newly created lots for the internal
24	access from the shopping center parcel to the
25	self-storage parcel.

We have reviewed the tree survey and found it compliant with the tree survey. The percent removal of specimen trees note, we need to note the percent removal of specimen trees in the chart, and tree preservation note number four should be completed. A note has been placed on the plans regarding tree removal requirements to protect bat species.

A City of Newburgh flow acceptance letter is required. A stormwater facilities maintenance agreement will be required. Health department approval for the water main with hydrants is required. As was noted, we issued comments regarding the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and believe those should be addressed.

Confirmation that the lighting is dark sky compliant.

Calculations supporting the culvert sizing for the two stream crossings should be provided. We have comments on the water and sewer. The limits of disturbance should be depicted on the plans and calculated for the site.

2	As was mentioned, infiltration testing for
3	all stormwater basins should be provided, and
4	details for the stormwater basins should be
5	provided consistent with the SWPPP comments.
6	We have a comment regarding the placement of
7	sediment traps in the areas proposed for
8	infiltration basins.
9	And that's the extent of our comments.
10	There is a separate technical issue that was
11	issued, a technical memo that was issued for
12	the stormwater.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You had mentioned at
14	the work session the eight inch sewer line.
15	MR. HINES: Yes. There's a proposed eight
16	inch sewer line shown servicing the multistory
17	storage building, and we just want confirmation
18	of that sizing. It appears large based on the
19	hydraulic loading.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell with Code
21	Compliance.
22	MR. CAMPBELL: Nothing additional at this
23	time.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. We'll turn it
25	over to the board members. John Ward.

2 MR. WARD: No comments. 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Lisa Carver. 4 MS. CARVER: Nothing further. 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff. 6 MR. BROWNE: Nothing further. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No comment. 8 MR. MENNERICH: No comments. 9 MS. DeLUCA: No comments. 10 MR. DOMINICK: Jerame, thanks for that 11 update. This is a very busy site, high end 12 site in front of a place on a very busy street. 13 when you come back with the ARB do not, even 14 though you're on Orr Avenue, tucked completely 15 in the back there, do not skimp on ARB when you come back, you know, the building esthetics and 16 overall look of this site. 17 18 MS. LIBOLT: Do you mean just -- this is 19 Kelly Libolt with KARC Planning -- as far as 20 landscaping? 21 MR. DOMINICK: No. the landscaping looks 22 I think overall the aesthetics of the good. 23 buildings. 24 MS. LIBOLT: Understood. 25 MR. DOMINICK: It's a multistory building

and then the single units. 2 3 MS. LIBOLT: Sure. We have provided the 4 board with some architectural plans in prior 5 submissions, and I don't think that they've changed much since the last submission. 6 7 we'll, we'll provide those in the next 8 submission and I think you'll be happy with 9 them. 10 MR. DOMINICK: Okay. 11 MS. LIBOLT: They don't look like a 12 warehouse. It looks like an office building. 13 It's predominantly brick with lots of glass. So we'll provide those to you. 14 15 MR. DOMINICK: Thank you. 16 MR. WARD: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Since there's 18 no questions or comments from the public, would 19 someone move for a motion to close the public 20 hearing --21 MR. WARD: So moved. 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: -- Newburgh Shoppes 23 Phase III. I have a motion by John Ward. 24 have a second? 25 MS. CARVER: Second.

2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Seconded by Lisa
3	Carver. May I have a roll call vote starting
4	with Dave Dominick.
5	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
6	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
7	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
9	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
10	MS. CARVER: Aye.
11	MR. WARD: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco,
13	Planning Board Attorney.
14	MR. CORDISCO: Yes.
15	MR. HINES: John, I have a we talked at
16	work session, and I don't know, apparently the
17	applicants were applying for a clearing and
18	grading permit as well. And this public
19	hearing was not advertised to include the
20	clearing and grading permit, and I don't know
21	if that's the applicant's intent to pursue a
22	clearing and grading permit prior to
23	MS. LIBOLT: Site plan approval?
24	MR. HINES: Site plan approval.
25	MS. LIBOLT: Yeah, we would, we would

2	pursue site plan approval following the final
3	signed plans
4	MR. HINES: Okay, I just wanted to make
5	sure.
6	MS. LIBOLT: and then we could commence
7	grading.
8	MR. SECARAS: That's the benefit of doing
9	the waiver for the infiltration testing before
10	we can clear, because we don't have to bisect
11	it. We can get site plan approval, move
12	forward and clear.
13	MR. HINES: So we would, we would be
14	looking for responses back to those comments,
15	and you can include any documentation that you
16	feel necessary to request that. You know, the
17	design guidelines do require that infiltration
18	testing. So my office will review whatever you
19	have to respond to that.
20	MR. SECARAS: There were dozens of test
21	sites that were completed, but we'll be happy
22	to provide that.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco.
24	MR. CORDISCO: Yes. Since the board had
25	previously adopted a negative declaration on

2	September 19th and has now held and closed the
3	public hearing but the applicant still has
4	items to address, including the city sewer
5	acceptance letter, the DEC stream crossing
6	permit and responding to technical comments
7	that the board's consultants have provided, the
8	code provision requires that the board has to
9	make a decision within 45 days of the closure
10	of the public hearing, we would ask that you
11	acknowledge that that time frame is suspended
12	because the applicant is going to be
13	resubmitting.
14	MS. LIBOLT: We acknowledge.
15	MR. CORDISCO: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay, that's it.
17	MS. LIBOLT: Thank you.
18	(Time noted: 8:03 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4) ss:
5	COUNTY OF ORANGE)
6	
7	I, KARI L. REED, a Shorthand Reporter
8	(Stenotype) and Notary Public within and for
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
10	I reported the proceedings in the
11	within-entitled matter and that the within
12	transcript is a true record of such
13	proceedings.
14	I further certify that I am not related,
15	by blood or marriage, to any of the parties in
16	this matter and that I am in no way interested
17	in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand this 21st day of November 2024.
20	
21	Maril 1 Dec 2
22	Kari L Roed
23	KARI L. REED
24	
25	